Combining hypofractionated radiation therapy with immunotherapy for anorectal malignant melanoma: a case report

Wei-Jun Wang¹, Kuan-Der Lee², Wei-Yu Chen³,⁴, Jeng-Fong Chiou¹,⁵,⁶, Long-Sheng Lu¹,⁷,⁸

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Taipei Medical University Hospital, ²Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Taipei Medical University Hospital, ³Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, ⁴Department of Pathology, Wan Fang Hospital, ⁵Department of Radiology, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, ⁶Taipei Cancer Center, ⁷Graduate Institute of Biomedical Materials and Tissue Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, ⁸International Ph.D. Program in Biomedical Engineering, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

Correspondence to: Long-Sheng Lu. Department of Radiation Oncology, Taipei Medical University Hospital, No.252, Wu-Hsing St., Taipei 11031, Taiwan. Email: lslu@tmu.edu.tw.

Abstract: A 69-year-old man was diagnosed stage II anorectal malignant melanoma. He received radiotherapy which consisted of 25 Gy in 5 fractions to primary lesions and whole pelvis. He started pembrolizumab 3 weeks after the completion of radiotherapy. The treatment was complicated by CTCAE v4.0 grade 3 diarrhea. Ten weeks after radiotherapy, near total regression of the primary lesion as well as lymph nodes were found. The patient continued triweekly pembrolizumab and local recurrence was noted at 9 months after the initial diagnosis. Treating anorectal malignant melanoma with radiation assisted immunotherapy is worth considering and further study is warranted.
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Case presentation

A 69-year-old vegetable vendor was admitted to our hospital after experiencing rectal bleeding and anorectal pain for several weeks. He was a non-smoker with a medical history of coronary artery disease. Digital examination and colonoscopy revealed an infiltrative mass at lower rectum (Figure 1). A biopsy was performed, and the specimens were sent for histological examination. The sections showed a picture of malignant tumor composed of ovoid epithelioid tumor cells arranged in single cell or diffuse pattern. The tumor cells had eosinophilic cytoplasm and

Introduction

Mucosal melanoma arises primarily in the head and neck, anorectal, and vulvovaginal regions. It is rare and account for less than 1% of all melanomas, and anorectal melanoma represents only 0.5–4% of all anorectal malignancies (1).

Because that more than half of the patients already have lymph node or distant metastases at the time of diagnosis, multimodality therapy including surgery, systemic therapy and radiation is a common approach. While surgical intervention stands for the mainstay of the primary treatment, cure is rarely achieved regarding to its aggressive natural course. Traditional chemotherapy is challenged with either low response rate or the rapid development of resistance (1). The Nobel Prize winning checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy has established an entirely new paradigm for cancer therapy, which significantly prolongs survival in patients with metastatic melanoma (2,3). However, its role in mucosal melanoma has not been well explored (4).

Radiotherapy has been used to definitively treat unresectable malignant melanoma or to palliatively treat metastatic disease. Hypofractionated radiation therapy has been found to modulate tumor microenvironment and synergize with anticancer immunotherapy. Here we describe our experience of combining both modalities in a patient of anorectal malignant melanoma.

Case presentation

A 69-year-old vegetable vendor was admitted to our hospital after experiencing rectal bleeding and anorectal pain for several weeks. He was a non-smoker with a medical history of coronary artery disease. Digital examination and colonoscopy revealed an infiltrative mass at lower rectum (Figure 1). A biopsy was performed, and the specimens were sent for histological examination. The sections showed a picture of malignant tumor composed of ovoid epithelioid tumor cells arranged in single cell or diffuse pattern. The tumor cells had eosinophilic cytoplasm and
high nucleus to cytoplasm ratio and prominent nucleoli. Frequent mitoses and focal tumor necrosis were found. By immunohistochemical stains, the tumor cells were positive for S-100 and HMB45 but negative for cytokeratin (AE1/AE3), cytokeratin 7, cytokeratin 20 and leukocyte common antigen. Malignant melanoma was diagnosed (Figure 2).

No obvious melanin pigment production was found in H&E stain and Fontana Masson stain. The mutation of BRAF V600E wasn’t detected. Whole-body computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging of the pelvis showed a 5-cm lobulated tumor with blurring margin in the posterior wall of lower rectum, accompanied with 2 loco-regional lymphadenopathies suggestive of nodal metastasis (Figure 3). No evidence of distant metastases was found. The patient was referred to our department for 

Figure 1 Colonoscopy findings. It showed an infiltrative mass around 5 cm over lower rectum, there was no observable melanin pigmentation on the surface of the mass.

Figure 2 Morphologic features of rectal melanoma. (A) Diffuse infiltration of ovoid tumor cells in the rectal mucosa. The tumor cells have pale or eosinophilic cytoplasm and prominent nucleoli (white arrow) (hematoxylin-eosin stain, original magnification 400×); (B) the tumor cells are reactive to HMB45 (white arrow) (immunohistochemical stain, original magnification 400×).

Figure 3 Pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at the time of diagnosis. (A) Sagittal T2 and (B) axial contrast-enhanced T1 MRI reveal a 5-cm lobulated mass in the posterior wall of lower rectum with blurring margin, accompanied with a 2.3-cm and a 2.6-cm lymphadenopathy in the presacral region.
the disseminated anorectal malignant melanoma, stage II based on Ballantyne’s mucosal melanoma staging system (5) and stage IIIb based on AJCC 8th rectal cancer staging. After having 1 cycle of dacarbazine, he received intensity-modulated radiotherapy with a 10 MV X-ray which consisted of a 25-Gy total radiation dose in 5 fractions to primary tumor, lymphadenopathies and whole pelvis (Figures 4, 5) over 5 consecutive days. One episode of CTCAE v4.0 grade 3 diarrhea for 1 month was observed after the treatment, which resolved with medications. Three weeks after the completion of radiotherapy, he started systemic therapy with pembrolizumab 150 mg once every 3 weeks. Ten weeks after the completion of radiotherapy, post-treatment imaging demonstrated near total regression of the primary lesions (Figure 6) and the primary lesions continued to shrink during regular follow-up. An episode of myocardial infarction occurred 6 months after the diagnosis and he underwent successful coronary artery stenting. Local recurrence in the rectum was biopsy proven at 9 months and peritoneal metastases was diagnosed at 10 months following initial diagnosis and radiotherapy. The patient remains alive with disease 14 months after the diagnosis and is being treated with pembrolizumab followed by ipilimumab. The disease course, radiotherapy plan and treatment response are summarized in Figure 7.

### Discussion

Primary malignant melanoma of the rectum is a rare disease. It constitutes only 0.5–4% of all colorectal malignancies and less than 1% of all melanomas (1). Approximately 60% of the patients have regional lymph node involvement at presentation and 30% of patients have distant metastases at the time of diagnosis (6). The definitive diagnosis of
pelvic MRI 10 weeks after radiotherapy. (A) Sagittal T2 and (B) axial contrast-enhanced T1 MRI showed significant regressive change of the rectal tumor and regional lymphadenopathies. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

Malignant melanoma has traditionally been considered as a relatively radioresistant tumor. Early radiobiological studies showed a broad shoulder in cell survival curve, which indicated hypofractionated regimen may enhance treatment response (12). Accordingly, Princess Margaret Hospital experience reported a case series composed of 18 patients with mucosal malignant melanoma treated with hypofractionated radiation therapy. In this study, a fraction size of 4 Gy or larger achieved better treatment effect than that of less than 4 Gy, with 6 of 7 patients (86%) and 5 of 18 patients (28%) achieved complete remission respectively (13). Another study included 3 patients with anorectal malignant melanoma at the same hospital showed no treatment response with conventionally fractionated radiotherapy (14). From these studies, hypofractionated radiation therapy may pose radiobiological advantage over conventionally fractionated radiotherapy in treating mucosal melanoma.

Immunological mechanism may contribute to the biological effects of hypofractionated radiation therapy. Because ionizing radiation induces local inflammatory
Figure 7 Disease course, radiotherapy plan and treatment response. Panel A showed pretreatment pelvic magnetic resonance images of the rectal mass and presacral lymphadenopathy. Panel B showed radiotherapy plans on enhanced axial computer tomography images. Panel C showed pelvic magnetic resonance images 10 weeks after radiotherapy, which documented near complete response of the tumor and lymphadenopathy. The treatment course was summarized in a timeline in the bottom panel.

effects, it is postulated that the response to checkpoint blockade immunotherapy may be improved if it is administered in conjunction with radiotherapy in treating mucosal melanoma. The argument is consistent with findings in a case series reporting 4 patients with mucosal melanoma of lower genital tract treated by combined immunotherapy and radiotherapy (15). Complete response and stable disease were reported in 3 patients receiving 30 Gy in 5 fractions. The other patient who received 60.2 Gy in 28 fractions achieved partial response.

There is ongoing controversy on the optimal sequence of immunotherapy and radiotherapy. A study of patients with melanoma brain metastases showed that immunotherapy with anti-PDL1 and anti-CTLA4 given within 4 weeks of stereotactic radiosurgery had better response comparing to treatments given more than 4 weeks after radiosurgery (16).
On the other hand, in the KEYNOTE-001 study it has been shown that survival benefit can be found in lung cancer patients treated with pembrolizumab and radiotherapy with a median interval of 9.5 months (17). Currently, there is a trend towards encouraging concurrent use or short interval sequential design if combining radiotherapy and immunotherapy (18,19). In this case, our multidisciplinary team decided to treat with immunotherapy after radiotherapy in a sequential fashion. It was only until the resolution of grade 3 diarrhea that the patient was fit enough to start pembrolizumab.

It remains unknown if elective nodal irradiation (ENI) should be considered when delineating target volumes for combined radiotherapy and immunotherapy. ENI is an effective strategy to prevent locoregional relapse in a variety of node-positive diseases in clinical radiation oncology. However, ENI attenuates tumor-specific T cells in the draining lymph nodes in preclinical models (20). The currently available hypofractionated protocol for treating rectal cancer, such as the one used in the Polish trial, involves the whole pelvic lymphatics in the target volume. Our patient presented with presacral lymphadenopathy, which suggested tumor cell presence in the pelvic lymphatics. Therefore, we adopted the Polish protocol and irradiated the whole pelvis for controlling microscopic spread. It is an open question whether immunotherapy combined with radiotherapy is sufficient to prevent out-of-field locoregional failure. More clinical evidence is keenly awaited for addressing this important question.

In short, while both radiotherapy and immunotherapy have been individually reported to effectively treat mucosal melanoma, our experience showed reasonable response of anorectal malignant melanoma to combined hypofractionated radiotherapy and immunotherapy. Data regarding to the treatment and response of anorectal malignant melanomas is limited to case reports and single-institute experiences given the overall rarity of the disease. Checkpoint blockade immunotherapy will soon be reimbursed by the National Health Insurance Administration in Taiwan for unresectable or metastatic melanoma. With our experience, we urge our society to investigate the possible clinical benefits and risk profile associated with adding radiotherapy to anti-CTLA4 and anti-PD1/PDL1.
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